

EVENT VIOLATION INSPECTOR'S STATEMENT

Company/Mine: PacifiCorp/Deer Creek Mine
Permit #: C/015/018

NOV # N03-49-4-1
Violation # 1 of 1

A. SERIOUSNESS

1. What type of event is applicable to the regulation cited? Refer to the DOGM reference list of event below and remember that **the event is NOT the same as the violation**. Mark and explain each event.

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | a. | Activity outside the approved permit area. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | b. | Injury to the public (public safety). |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | c. | Damage to property. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | d. | Conducting activities without appropriate approvals. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | e. | Environmental harm. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | f. | Water pollution. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | g. | Loss of reclamation/revegetation potential. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | h. | Reduced establishment, diverse and effective vegetative cover. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | i. | No event occurred as a result of the violation. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | j. | Other. |

Explanation: Several siltation structures (silt fences) at the Waste Rock Site were inundated with sediment, undercut, or were in poor repair.

2. Has the event occurred? Yes

If yes, describe it. If no, what would cause it to occur and what is the probability of the event(s) occurring? (None, Unlikely, Likely).

Explanation: Several siltation structures (silt fences) at the Waste Rock Site were inundated with sediment, undercut, or were in poor repair along the access road at the 1st, 3rd, and 4th structures and at various locations along the north side of the Waste Rock Site.

3. Did any damage occur as a result of the violation? No

If yes, describe the duration and extent of the damage or impact. How much damage may have occurred if the violation had not been discovered by a DOGM inspector? Describe this potential damage and whether or not it would extend off the disturbed and/or permit area.

Explanation: _____

B. DEGREE OF FAULT (Check the statements which apply to the violation and discuss).

- ☐ Was the violation not the fault of the operator (due to vandalism or an act of God), explain. Remember that the permittee is considered responsible for the actions of all persons working on the mine site.

Explanation: _____

- ☐ Was the violation the result of not knowing about DOGM regulations, indifference to DOGM regulations or the result of lack of reasonable care.

Explanation: _____

- ☐ If the actual or potential environmental harm or harm to the public should have been evident to a careful operator, describe the situation and what, if anything, the operator did to correct it prior to being cited.

Explanation: _____

- ☒ Was the operator in violation of a specific permit condition?

Explanation: Failure to maintain siltation structures associated with the Waste Rock Site.

- ☐ Has DOGM or OSM cited the violation in the past? If so, give the dates and the type of warning or enforcement action taken.

Explanation: _____

C. GOOD FAITH

1. In order to receive good faith for compliance with an NOV or CO, the violation must have been abated before the abatement deadline. If you think this applies, describe how rapid compliance was achieved (give date) and describe the measures the operator took to comply as rapidly as possible.

Explanation: The violation abatement date is November 3, 2003. The abatement work had not been completed at the time of this inspectors statement (October 7, 2003).

Event Violation Inspector's Statement

NOV/CO # N03-49-4-1
Violation # 1 of 1

2. Explain whether or not the operator had the necessary resources on site to achieve compliance.

Explanation: No, a contractor will need to be mobilized.

3. Was the submission of plans prior to physical activity required by this NOV / CO? No If yes, explain.

Explanation: _____

Karl R. Houskeeper
Authorized Representative

Signature

October 7, 2003
Date

O:\015018.DER\Compliance\2003\N03-49-4-1event.doc